Radiation and Heat Generation effects on steady MHD flow near a stagnation point on a linear stretching sheet in porous medium in presence of variable thermal conductivity and mass transfer
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ABSTRACT
The present study aim to study the effects of variable thermal conductivity and heat generation on flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid in the presence of uniform transverse magnetic field, thermal radiation, porous medium, mass transfer and variable free stream near a stagnation point on a non-conducting stretching sheet. The equations of continuity, momentum, energy and mass are transformed into ordinary differential equations and solved numerically using shooting method. The velocity, temperature and concentration distributions are discussed numerically and presented through graphs. Skin-friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number at the sheet are derived, discussed numerically and their numerical values for various values of physical parameters are presented through tables. The numerical predications have been compared with the existing information in the literature and good agreement is obtained.

Introduction

In fluid dynamics the effects of external magnetic field on magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flow over a stretching sheet are very important due to its applications in many engineering problems, such as glass manufacturing, geophysics, paper production, and purification of crude oil. The flow due to stretching of a flat surface was first investigated by Crane [1]. Pavlov [2] studied the effect of external magnetic field on the MHD flow over a stretching sheet. Andersson [3] discussed the MHD flow of viscous fluid on a stretching sheet and Mukhopadhyay et al. [4] presented the MHD flow and heat transfer over a stretching sheet with variable fluid viscosity.
Bhattacharyya and Layek [5] showed the behavior of solute distribution in MHD boundary layer flow past a stretching sheet. Furthermore, many vital properties of MHD flow over stretching sheet were explored in articles [6-8] in the literature.

The field of boundary layer flow problem over a stretching sheet has many industrial applications such as polymer sheet or filament extrusion from a dye or long thread between feed roll or wind-up roll, glass fiber and paper production, drawing of plastic films, liquid films in condensation process. Due to the high applicability of this problem in such industrial phenomena, it has attracted the attentions of many researchers. Sakiadis [9] was the first to study boundary layer flow over a stretching surface moving with a constant velocity in an ambient fluid. He employed a similarity transformation and obtained a numerical solution for the problem. Erickson et al. [10] extended the work of Sakiadis [9] to account for mass transfer at the stretching surface. Tsou et al. [11] presented a combined analytical and experimental study of the flow and temperature field in the boundary layer on a continuous moving surface.

The stagnation point flows are classic problems in the field of fluid dynamics and have been investigated by many researchers. These flows can be viscous or inviscid, steady or unsteady, two-dimensional or three-dimensional, normal or oblique, and forward or reverse. The steady flow in the neighborhood of a stagnation-point was first studied by Hiemenz [12], who used a similarity transformation to reduce the Navier-Stokes equations to nonlinear ordinary differential equations. This problem has been extended by Homann [13] to the case of axisymmetric stagnation-point flow. Stagnation point flows have been discussed by Pai [14], Schlichting [15], Bansal [16], and Chiam [17] etc. Mahapatra and Gupta [18] and Nazar et al. [19] studied the heat transfer in the steady two dimensional stagnation-point flow of a viscous fluid by taking into account different aspects.

Kay [20] proposed that thermal conductivity of liquids with low Prandtl number varies linearly with temperature in range of 0°F to 400°F. Arunachalam and Rajappa [21] considered forced convection in liquid metals (i.e., fluid with low Prandtl number) with variable thermal conductivity and capacity in potential flow and derived explicit closed form of analytical solution. Fluid flow and heat transfer characteristics on stretching sheet with variable temperature conditions have been investigated by Grubha and Bobba [22]. Chaim [23] studied heat transfer in fluid flow of low Prandtl number with variable thermal conductivity, induced due to stretching sheet and compared the numerical results with perturbation solution.

The effect of thermal radiation on flow and heat transfer processes is of major importance in the design of many advanced energy conversion systems operating at high temperature. Thermal radiation within such systems occurs because of the emission by the hot walls and working fluid. Pop et al. [24] discussed the flow over stretching sheet near a stagnation point taking thermal radiation effect. The effect of radiation on heat transfer problems has been studied by Hossain and Takhar [25]. Pal [26] has investigated heat and mass transfer in stagnation-point flow towards a stretching surface in the presence of buoyancy force and thermal radiation. Vyas and Srivastava [27] present a numerical study for the steady two-dimensional radiative MHD boundary-layer flow of an incompressible, viscous, electrically conducting fluid caused by a
non-isothermal linearly stretching sheet placed at the bottom of fluid saturated porous medium.

The study of heat generation or absorption in moving fluids is important in the problems dealing with chemical reactions and those concerned with dissociating fluids. Possible heat generation effects may alter temperature distribution; hence, the particle deposition rate distribution; hence, the particle deposition rate conductor wafers. The steady hydromagnetic laminar stagnation point flow of an incompressible viscous fluid impinging on a permeable stretching surface with heat generation or absorption has been analyzed by Attia [28]. Sharma and Singh [29] investigated the effects of variable thermal conductivity and heat source/sink on flow near a stagnation point on a non-conducting stretching sheet. The effects of variable thermal conductivity and heat source/sink on steady two-dimensional radiative MHD boundary-layer flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting fluid in presence of variable free steam near a stagnation point on a non-conducting stretching sheet has been studied by Al-Sudais [30].

However the interaction of thermal radiation effect of an electrically conducting fluid past near a stagnation point on a linear stretching sheet has received little attention. Hence an attempt is made to investigate the thermal radiation and mass transfer effects on a steady MHD flow near a stagnation point on a linear stretching sheet in presence of variable free steam. The governing equations are transformed by using similarity transformation and the resultant dimensionless equations are solved numerically using the Runge-Kutta fourth order method with shooting technique. The effects of various governing parameters on the velocity, temperature, concentration, skin-friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number are shown in figures and tables and analyzed in detail.

**Formulation of the problem**

Consider steady two-dimensional flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid of variable thermal conductivity in the vicinity of a stagnation point on a non-conducting stretching sheet in the presence of transverse magnetic field and volumetric rate of heat generation. The stretching sheet has uniform temperature $T_w$, linear velocity $u_w(x)$. It is assumed that external field is zero, the electric field owing to polarization of charges and Hall effect are neglected. Stretching sheet is placed in the plane $y=0$ and $x$-axis is taken along the sheet. The fluid occupies the upper half plane i.e. $y>0$. The governing equations of continuity, momentum, energy and concentration under the influence of externally imposed transverse magnetic field (Bansal [16]) with variable thermal conductivity in the boundary layer are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} u + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \quad (2)$$

$$\rho c_p \left( u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} \left( T - T_* \right) \quad (3)$$

$$u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \quad (4)$$

Where $x$ and $y$ represents the coordinate axes along the continuous stretching surface in the direction of motion and normal to it, respectively; $u$ and $v$ are the velocity
components along the \(x\) and \(y\) axes respectively, \(p\) is the pressure of the fluid, \(\nu\) is the kinematics viscosity, \(\sigma\) is electrical conductivity, \(B_0\) is the magnetic field intensity, \(\rho\) is the fluid density, \(K^*\) is the permeability of the porous medium, \(c_p\) is the specific heat at constant pressure, \(q_r\) is the radiation heat flux, \(T\) is the fluid temperature, \(T_w\) is the fluid free steam temperature, \(T_\infty\) is the fluid free stream temperature, \(C\) is the fluid concentration, \(C_w\) is the fluid concentration of stretching sheet, \(k^*\) is the variable thermal conductivity, \(Q^*\) is the volumetric rate of heat generation, \(D\) is the molecular diffusivity of the species concentration.

The second derivatives of \(u\) and \(T\) with respect to \(x\) have been eliminated on the basis of magnitude analysis considering that Reynolds number is high. Hence the Navier-Stokes equation modifies into Prandtl’s boundary layer equation.

In the free stream \(u = U(x) = bx\), the equation (2) reduces to

\[
U \frac{dU}{dx} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} U \quad (5)
\]

Eliminating \(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\) between the equations (2) and (5), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = U \frac{dU}{dx} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} (u - U) \frac{\nu}{K^*} u \quad (6)
\]

The boundary conditions for the present problem are:

\[
u = u_w(x) = cx, \quad v = 0, \quad T = T_w, \quad C = C_w \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0.
\]

\[
u = U(x) = bx, \quad T = T_\infty, \quad C = C_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty. \quad (7)
\]

Using the Rosseland approximation for radiation (Brewster [31]), the radiative heat flux \(q_r\) could be expressed by:

\[
q_r = -\frac{4\sigma^*}{3k_0} \frac{\partial T^4}{\partial y} \quad (8)
\]

Where the \(\sigma^*\) represents the Stefan-Boltzman constant and \(k_0\) is the Rosseland mean absorption coefficient. Assuming the temperature difference within the flow is such that \(T^4\) may be expanded in a Taylor series about \(T_\infty\) and neglecting higher orders we get:

\[
T^4 \simeq 4T_\infty^3 T - 3T_\infty^4 \quad (9)
\]

Following Arunachalam and Rajappa [21] and Chaim [23], the thermal conductivity \(k^*\) is taken of the form as given below

\[
k^* = k (1 + \varepsilon \theta) \quad (10)
\]

The continuity equation (1) is satisfied by introducing the stream function \(\psi(x, y)\) such that

\[
u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.
\]

We introduce the following non-dimensional variables:

\[
\psi(x, y) = \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^\frac{1}{2} \chi f(\eta),
\]

\[
\eta = \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\right)^\frac{1}{2} y \quad \theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_w}{T_w - T_\infty},
\]

\[
\phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_w}{C_w - C_\infty}, \quad \lambda = \frac{b}{c}
\]
Using equations (8) – (11) into the equations (6), (3) and (4) we get the following ordinary differential equations:

\[ f'''' + f'' + f' = \frac{M}{K}f' + \frac{\lambda^2}{K} = 0, \]
\[ (1 + R + \varepsilon \theta)\theta'' + \varepsilon \theta'^2 + \text{Pr} f \theta' + \text{Pr} Q \theta = 0 \]
\[ \phi'' + \frac{S\phi}{\lambda} = 0 \]

where the primes denote the differentiation with respect to \( \eta \), \( \lambda \) is the ratio of free stream velocity parameter to stretching sheet parameter, \( b' \) is the free stream velocity parameter, \( c \) is the stretching sheet parameter, \( M \) is the magnetic parameter, \( K \) is the permeability parameter, \( \text{Pr} \) is the Prandtl number, \( R \) is the thermal radiation parameter, \( \varepsilon \) is perturbation parameter, \( Q \) is the heat generation parameter, \( S \) is the Schmidt number.

The corresponding boundary conditions are reduced to

\[ f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1 \]
\[ f'(') = \lambda, \quad \theta(') = 0, \quad \phi(') = 0 \]

**Skin-friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number**

In practical applications, three quantities of physical interest are to be determined, such as, surface shear stress, the rate of heat transfer and rate of mass transfer at the surface. These may be obtained in terms of the skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number:

\[ C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho c'\sqrt{c}} = xf''(0) \]

\[ \text{Nu} = \left( \frac{\nu}{c'} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{q_w}{k(T_w - T_\infty)} = -\theta'(0) \]

\[ \text{Sh} = \left( \frac{\nu}{c'} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{m_w}{D(C_w - C_\infty)} = -\phi'(0) \]

where, \( \tau_w = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \) is the shear-stress along the sheet,

\[ q_w = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \]

is the surface heat transfer rate, and

\[ m_w = -D \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) \]

is the surface mass transfer rate.

**Method of Solution**

The governing boundary layer, thermal and concentration boundary layer equations (12) – (14) with the boundary conditions (15) are solved using Runge-Kutta fourth order technique along with shooting method (Conte and Boor [32]). First of all, higher order non-linear differential equations (12)-(14) are converted into simultaneous linear differential equations of order first and they are further transformed into initial value problem applying the shooting technique. Once the problem is reduced to initial value problem, then it is solved using Runge-Kutta fourth order technique (Jain [33], Jain et al. [34], Krishnamurthy and Sen [35]).
Results and Discussion

The system of non-linear ordinary differential equations (12) – (14) are solved numerically using Shooting method for different values of Magnetic field parameter $M$, permeability of the porous medium $K$, ratio of free stream velocity parameter to stretching sheet parameter $\lambda$, thermal radiation parameter $R$, Prandtl number $Pr$, heat generation parameter $Q$, perturbation parameter $\varepsilon$, and Schmidt number $Sc$.

In order to assess the accuracy of the numerical method, results for $f^\prime(0)$ in the absence of Magnetic field parameter ($M = 0$) were compared with those of Pop et al. [24], Mahapatra and Gupta [18] and Al-sudais [30]. Former have used Runge-Kutta fourth order method and shooting technique, while the second have used finite difference technique and Thomas algorithm, the later have used Runge-Kutta fourth order method along with shooting technique and found to be in good agreement. These comparisons are shown in Table 1. It is seen from Table 2 that the numerical values of $-\theta^\prime(0)$ in the present paper when $M = K = R = Q = Sc = \varepsilon = 0$ and $Pr = 0.05$ are agreement with those obtained by Pop et al. [24], Mahapatra and Gupta [18] and Al-sudais [30].

To analyze the results, numerical computations has been carried out for variations in the governing parameters such as the of Magnetic field parameter $M$, permeability of the porous medium $K$, ratio of free stream velocity parameter to stretching sheet parameter $\lambda$, thermal radiation parameter $R$, Prandtl number $Pr$, heat generation parameter $Q$, perturbation parameter $\varepsilon$, and Schmidt number $Sc$.

In the present study following default parameter values are adopted for computations: $M = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $Pr = 0.71$, $R = 1.0$, $Q = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.1$, $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $Sc = 0.22$. All graphs therefore correspond to these values unless specifically indicated on the appropriate graph.

Figure 1 represents the importance of magnetic field on the velocity profiles. The presence of transverse magnetic field parameter $M$ sets in Lorentz force, which results in retarding force on the velocity filed and therefore as magnetic field parameter increases, so does the retarding force and hence the velocity profiles decrease. This is shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 and Figure 3 display on temperature and concentration profiles with magnetic field parameter respectively. From these figures, it is observed that both temperature and concentration profiles increases as magnetic field parameter increase.

The effect of the permeability of porous medium parameter $K$ on velocity, temperature and concentration profiles is shown in Figures 4, 5, 6 respectively. It can be observed the velocity reduces as permeability of porous medium $K$ increases, while temperature and concentration enhances as permeability of porous medium $K$ increases which imply that the resistance of the medium decreases. This is due to the increased restriction resulting from decreasing the porosity of porous medium.

It is observed from Figure 7 that with the increase in Prandtl number $Pr$, temperature profile decreases. This is because of the fact that with the increase in Prandtl number $Pr$, thermal boundary layer thickness reduces. The effect is even more pronounced for small Prandtl number $Pr$ because the thermal boundary layer thickness is comparatively large.

Figure 8 predicts the influence of the radiation parameter $R$ on the temperature field.
Figure 1. Velocity Profiles for different values of $M$

Figure 2. Temperature profiles for different values of $M$

Figure 3. Concentration profiles for different values of $M$

Figure 4. Velocity profiles for different values of $K$
Figure 5: Temperature profiles for different values of $K$.

Figure 6: Concentration profiles for different values of $K$.

Figure 7: Temperature profiles for different values of $Pr$.

Figure 8: Temperature profiles for different values of $R$.

Figure 9: Temperature profiles for different values of $Q$.

Figure 10: Temperature profiles for different values of $\varepsilon$.

Figure 11: Concentration profiles for different values of $Sc$.

Figure 12: Velocity profiles for different values of $\lambda$. 
Table 1 A comparison of skin-friction coefficient $f^*(0)$ for different values of $\lambda$ and $M = 0.0$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.9694</td>
<td>-0.9694</td>
<td>0.0096705</td>
<td>-0.969385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.9181</td>
<td>-0.9181</td>
<td>0.0091849</td>
<td>-0.9181065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.6673</td>
<td>-0.6673</td>
<td>0.006674611</td>
<td>-0.667261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0174</td>
<td>2.0175</td>
<td>2.01728138</td>
<td>2.0174904</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: A comparison of Nusselt number $-\theta'(0)$ for different values of $\lambda$
when $M = Q = R = \varepsilon = 0.0, Pr = 0.05$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.080547</td>
<td>0.081241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.135358</td>
<td>0.135575</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.241025</td>
<td>0.241029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Numerical values of the skin-friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number for $Pr = 0.71, R = 1.0, Q = 0.1, \varepsilon = 0.1, Sc = 0.22$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$C_f$</th>
<th>$Nu$</th>
<th>$Sh$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.268904</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.13321</td>
<td>0.262853</td>
<td>0.279277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.20198</td>
<td>0.257454</td>
<td>0.276908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.15376</td>
<td>0.257789</td>
<td>0.276929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.2398</td>
<td>0.248276</td>
<td>0.272698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.934202</td>
<td>0.304593</td>
<td>0.299526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.747837</td>
<td>0.344163</td>
<td>0.320372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4 Numerical values of the skin-friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number for $M = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>$Sc$</th>
<th>$C_f$</th>
<th>$Nu$</th>
<th>$Sh$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.268904</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.306422</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.45044</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.241735</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.229604</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.147114</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.072363</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.236927</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.20495</td>
<td>0.281977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.268904</td>
<td>0.434922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-1.0602</td>
<td>0.268904</td>
<td>0.566934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The radiation parameter $R$ defines the relative contribution of conduction heat transfer to thermal radiation transfer. It is obvious that an increase in the radiation parameter results in increasing temperature within the boundary layer.

Figure 9 shows the influence of the heat generation parameter $Q$ on temperature profiles within the thermal boundary layer. From the Figure 9 it is observed that the temperature increases with an increase in the heat generation parameter $Q$.

Figure 10 represents the temperature profiles for some values of the perturbation parameter $\varepsilon$ and for fixed values of all other. Figure 10 shows that with the increase in the value of $\varepsilon$, temperature profiles increase hence considering the thermal conductivity constant would lead to lower approximation of the temperature profile.

For different values of the Schmidt number $Sc$, the concentration profile is plotted in Figure 11. The Schmidt number $Sc$ embodies the ratio of the momentum diffusivity to the mass (species) diffusivity. It physically relates the relative thickness of the hydrodynamic boundary layer and mass transfer (concentration) boundary layer. As the Schmidt number $Sc$ increases the concentration decreases. This causes the concentration buoyancy effects to decrease yielding a reduction in the fluid velocity. The reduction in the concentration profiles is accompanied by simultaneous reductions in the concentration boundary layers, which is evident from Figure 11.

Figure 12 depicts the ratio of free steam velocity parameter to stretching sheet parameter $\lambda$ on velocity profiles. It is clear that the velocity profiles increase with an increase in the values of parameter $\lambda$. Figures 13 and 14 show the temperature and concentration profiles for different values of the ratio of free steam velocity parameter to stretching sheet parameter $\lambda$. It is seen Figures 13 and 14 that fluid temperature and concentration decreases due to increase in $\lambda$. 

98
The effects of various governing parameters on the skin-friction coefficient $C_f$, the Nusselt number $Nu$ and the Sherwood number $Sh$ are shown in Tables 3 and 4. From Tables, it is noticed that as magnetic parameter $M$ or Permeability parameter $K$ increases, the skin-friction coefficient increases and both Nusselt number and Sherwood number decreases. As $\lambda$ increases skin-friction coefficient reduces, while Nusselt number and Sherwood number increase. The Nusselt number increases as Prandtl number increase, while Nusselt number reduces as thermal radiation parameter $R$ or heat generation parameter $Q$ or perturbation parameter $\varepsilon$ increases. It is seen that, the Sherwood number increases as an increase in the Schmidt number $Sc$.
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